UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/589,685	12/19/2006	Yoshiki Fukui	9319G-0001842/US/NP	9913
27572 7590 08/24/2009 HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C. P.O. BOX 828			EXAMINER	
			CRUZ, MAGDA	
BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2851	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/24/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/589,685	FUKUI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	MAGDA CRUZ	2851			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION	l.			
<ul> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>	cause the application to become ABANDONE	O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
<ul> <li>1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 Au</li> <li>2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This</li> <li>3) Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E</li> </ul>	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
<ul> <li>4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw</li> <li>5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or</li> </ul>					
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>17 August 2006</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	• , ,	, ,			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex-		• •			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents  3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	te			

Art Unit: 2851

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1-2 and 4-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Onozawa.

Onozawa (JP 11-095324 A) discloses:

- Regarding claims 1, 5 and 7, an ultrasonic speaker (Figure 3, element 24, which inside of element 2; Figure 3 is a detail of element 2); a visual information generation unit (Figure 1, element 11) that generates visual information relating to a sound reproduction range of the ultrasonic speaker (Figure 3, element 24); and an image projection unit (Figure 1, element 10) that displays the visual information generated by the Visual information generation unit on a screen (Figure 1, element S).
- Regarding claims 2 and 6, the visual information (i.e. image projected on element S) relating to the sound reproduction range and displayed on the screen (Figure 1, element S) includes at least one of sound reproduction range information (Figure 3, element 22), reproduction volume information

Art Unit: 2851

(Figure 3, element 23), and direction information of sound reflected (Figure 3, element 25) from the screen (Figure 3, element S).

Regarding claim 4, a plurality of ultrasonic speakers (see claim 10); and a unit that generates visual information (Figure 1, element 11) relating to a sound reproduction range of each ultrasonic speaker (Figure 3, element 24) and displays the visual information on the screen (Figure 3, element S).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Onozawa in view of Matsuzawa et al.

The applied reference (Matsuzawa et al.) has a common inventor with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art only under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) might be overcome by: (1) a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not an invention "by another"; (2) a showing of a date of invention for the claimed subject matter of the application which corresponds to subject matter disclosed but not

Art Unit: 2851

claimed in the reference, prior to the effective U.S. filing date of the reference under 37 CFR 1.131; or (3) an oath or declaration under 37 CFR 1.130 stating that the application and reference are currently owned by the same party and that the inventor named in the application is the prior inventor under 35 U.S.C. 104, together with a terminal disclaimer in accordance with 37 CFR 1.321(c). This rejection might also be overcome by showing that the reference is disqualified under 35 U.S.C. 103(c) as prior art in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a). See MPEP § 706.02(I)(1) and § 706.02(I)(2).

Onozawa (JP 11-095324 A) teaches the salient features of the present invention as explained above, except an ultrasonic transducer that converts the modulated signal amplified by the power amplifier into sound waves of a finite amplitude level and radiates the sound waves through a medium.

Matsuzawa et al. (US Pub. No. 2006/0033882 A1) disclose an ultrasonic transducer (element 24) that converts the modulated signal amplified by the power amplifier into sound waves of a finite amplitude level and radiates the sound waves through a medium (page 3, paragraph 0049, lines 1-6).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the ultrasonic transducer disclosed by Matsuzawa et al. in combination with Onozawa's invention, for the purpose of converting the modulated signal into an acoustic signal in a finite amplitude level and radiated into the air (Matsuzawa et al., page 4, paragraph 0061, lines 4-6).

Art Unit: 2851

## Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Takahashi et al. (US Patent Number 6,643,377 B1) disclose an audio output system and method therefor.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MAGDA CRUZ whose telephone number is (571)272-2114. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday 8:00-5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Diane Lee can be reached on (571) 272-2399. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/MC/ Patent Number

Art Unit: 2851

/Diane I Lee/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2851